



Brunei International Medical Journal

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF
THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH
AND
UNIVERSITI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

Volume 17

5 May 2021 (24 Syawal 1442H)

AWARENESS AND ATTITUDES OF TOOTH REPLACEMENT OPTIONS AMONG PARTIALLY DENTATE PATIENTS WITHIN BRUNEI-MUARA DISTRICT: A PILOT STUDY.

Mohammad Najmuddin JIHADI¹, Sarah SANI^{1, 2}, Amrutha DESHIKACHAR³, Jagjit Singh DHALIWALL¹.

¹Pengiran Anak Puteri Rashidah Sa'adatulk Bolkiah (PAPRSB) Institute of Health Sciences, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Jalan Tungku Link, Gadong BE1410, Brunei Darussalam.

²National Dental Centre, Old Airport, Berakas, BB3113, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.

³Jubli Perak Sengkurong Health Centre, Kilometer 16, Jalan Tutong, Kampong Sengkurong, Bandar Seri Begawan, BG3122, Brunei Darussalam.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This pilot study aimed to assess awareness of tooth replacement options and attitudes towards tooth replacement of partially dentate patients within Brunei-Muara District of Brunei Darussalam. **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional sample of partially dentate patients attending government dental clinics in Brunei-Muara district was recruited to answer a questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of nine close-ended and multiple-choice questions on sociodemographic information, and tooth replacement option awareness and attitudes. Collected data was analyzed using RStudio 1.2.1335 (for Windows) for descriptive statistics along with the chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. **Results:** A total of 179 participants were recruited in this study. A large number (87.7%) of the patients were willing to replace their missing teeth. Most participants were aware of the removable prosthesis (80.0%), followed by implant-supported prostheses (41.9%) and tooth-supported bridges (25.1%). Younger aged participants and the ones with higher level of education were significantly more aware of tooth-supported bridges. Participants with higher education were also significantly more aware of implant-supported prostheses. Most of the participants reported that they gained information about tooth replacement options from Dental professionals. **Conclusion:** There were favourable responses towards attitude for tooth replacement among partially dentate patients in the Brunei-Muara district. Within the limitations of this pilot study, the findings can be used to gauge the treatment needs of the partially dentate patients in Brunei-Muara district of Brunei Darussalam.

Keywords: Awareness, Attitude, Brunei, Dental Prosthesis, Tooth loss.

Brunei Int Med J. 2021;17:70-76

Brunei International Medical Journal (BIMJ)

Official Publication of The Ministry of Health and Universiti Brunei Darussalam

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief	Ketan PANDE
Sub-Editors	Vui Heng CHONG William Chee Fui CHONG
Editorial Board Members	Muhd Syafiq ABDULLAH Alice Moi Ling YONG Ahmad Yazid ABDUL WAHAB Jackson Chee Seng TAN Pemasiri Upali TELISINGHE Pengiran Khairol Asmee PENGIRAN SABTU Dayangku Siti Nur Ashikin PENGIRAN TENGAH

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Lawrence HO Khek Yu (Singapore)	Chuen Neng LEE (Singapore)
Wilfred PEH (Singapore)	Emily Felicia Jan Ee SHEN (Singapore)
Surinderpal S BIRRING (United Kingdom)	Leslie GOH (United Kingdom)
John YAP (United Kingdom)	Ian BICKLE (United Kingdom)
Nazar LUQMAN (Australia)	Christopher HAYWARD (Australia)
Jose F LAPENA (Philippines)	

Advisor

Wilfred PEH (Singapore)

Past Editors-in-Chief

Nagamuttu RAVINDRANATHAN
Kenneth Yuh Yen KOK
Chong Vui Heng
William Chong Chee Fui

Proof reader

John WOLSTENHOLME (CfBT Brunei Darussalam)

Aim and Scope of Brunei International Medical Journal

The Brunei International Medical Journal (BIMJ) is a six monthly peer reviewed official publication of the Ministry of Health under the auspices of the Clinical Research Unit, Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam.

The BIMJ publishes articles ranging from original research papers, review articles, medical practice papers, special reports, audits, case reports, images of interest, education and technical/innovation papers, editorials, commentaries and letters to the Editor. Topics of interest include all subjects that relate to clinical practice and research in all branches of medicine, basic and clinical including topics related to allied health care fields. The BIMJ welcomes manuscripts from contributors, but usually solicits reviews articles and special reports. Proposals for review papers can be sent to the Managing Editor directly. Please refer to the contact information of the Editorial Office.

Instruction to authors

Manuscript submissions

All manuscripts should be sent to the Managing Editor, BIMJ, Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam; e-mail: editor-in-chief@bimjonline.com. Subsequent correspondence between the BIMJ and authors will, as far as possible via should be conducted via email quoting the reference number.

Conditions

Submission of an article for consideration for publication implies the transfer of the copyright from the authors to the BIMJ upon acceptance. The final decision of acceptance rests with the Editor-in-Chief. All accepted papers become the permanent property of the BIMJ and may not be published elsewhere without written permission from the BIMJ.

Ethics

Ethical considerations will be taken into account in the assessment of papers that have experimental investigations of human or animal subjects. Authors should state clearly in the Materials and Methods section of the manuscript that institutional review board has approved the project. Those investigators without such review boards should ensure that the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki have been followed.

Manuscript categories

Original articles

These include controlled trials, interventional studies, studies of screening and diagnostic tests, outcome studies, cost-effectiveness analyses, and large-scale epidemiological studies. Manuscript should include the following; introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusion. The objective should be stated clearly in the introduction. The text should not exceed 2500 words and references not more than 30.

Review articles

These are, in general, invited papers, but unsolicited reviews, if of good quality, may be considered. Reviews are systematic critical assessments of

literature and data sources pertaining to clinical topics, emphasising factors such as cause, diagnosis, prognosis, therapy, or prevention. Reviews should be made relevant to our local setting and preferably supported by local data. The text should not exceed 3000 words and references not more than 40.

Special Reports

This section usually consist of invited reports that have significant impact on healthcare practice and usually cover disease outbreaks, management guidelines or policy statement paper.

Audits

Audits of relevant topics generally follow the same format as original article and the text should not exceed 1,500 words and references not more than 20.

Case reports

Case reports should highlight interesting rare cases or provide good learning points. The text should not exceed 1000 words; the number of tables, figures, or both should not be more than two, and references should not be more than 15.

Education section

This section includes papers (i.e. how to interpret ECG or chest radiography) with particular aim of broadening knowledge or serve as revision materials. Papers will usually be invited but well written paper on relevant topics may be accepted. The text should not exceed 1500 words and should include not more than 15 figures illustration and references should not be more than 15.

Images of interest

These are papers presenting unique clinical encounters that are illustrated by photographs, radiographs, or other figures. Image of interest should include a brief description of the case and discussion with educational aspects. Alternatively, a mini quiz can be presented and answers will be posted in a different section of the publication. A maximum of

three relevant references should be included. Only images of high quality (at least 300dpi) will be acceptable.

Technical innovations

This section include papers looking at novel or new techniques that have been developed or introduced to the local setting. The text should not exceed 1000 words and should include not more than 10 figures illustration and references should not be more than 10.

Letters to the Editor

Letters discussing a recent article published in the BIMJ are welcome and should be sent to the Editorial Office by e-mail. The text should not exceed 250 words; have no more than one figure or table, and five references.

Criteria for manuscripts

Manuscripts submitted to the BIMJ should meet the following criteria: the content is original; the writing is clear; the study methods are appropriate; the data are valid; the conclusions are reasonable and supported by the data; the information is important; and the topic has general medical interest. Manuscripts will be accepted only if both their contents and style meet the standards required by the BIMJ.

Authorship information

Designate one corresponding author and provide a complete address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address. The number of authors of each paper should not be more than twelve; a greater number requires justification. Authors may add a publishable footnote explaining order of authorship.

Group authorship

If authorship is attributed to a group (either solely or in addition to one or more individual authors), all members of the group must meet the full criteria and requirements for authorship described in the following paragraphs. One or more authors may take responsibility 'for' a group, in which case the other group members are not authors, but may be listed in an acknowledgement.

Authorship requirement

When the BIMJ accepts a paper for publication, authors will be asked to sign statements on (1) financial disclosure, (2) conflict of interest and (3) copyright transfer. The correspondence author may sign on behalf of co-authors.

Authorship criteria and responsibility

All authors must meet the following criteria: to have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content; to have made substantial contributions to the conception and de-

sign, and the analysis and interpretation of the data (where applicable); to have made substantial contributions to the writing or revision of the manuscript; and to have reviewed the final version of the submitted manuscript and approved it for publication. Authors will be asked to certify that their contribution represents valid work and that neither the manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under their authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere, except as described in an attachment. If requested, authors shall provide the data on which the manuscript is based for examination by the editors or their assignees.

Financial disclosure or conflict of interest

Any affiliation with or involvement in any organisation or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript should be disclosed in an attachment. Any financial or material support should be identified in the manuscript.

Copyright transfer

In consideration of the action of the BIMJ in reviewing and editing a submission, the author/s will transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership to the Clinical Research Unit, RIPAS Hospital, Ministry of Health in the event that such work is published by the BIMJ.

Acknowledgements

Only persons who have made substantial contributions but who do not fulfill the authorship criteria should be acknowledged.

Accepted manuscripts

Authors will be informed of acceptances and accepted manuscripts will be sent for copyediting. During copyediting, there may be some changes made to accommodate the style of journal format. Attempts will be made to ensure that the overall meaning of the texts are not altered. Authors will be informed by email of the estimated time of publication. Authors may be requested to provide raw data, especially those presented in graph such as bar charts or figures so that presentations can be constructed following the format and style of the journal. Proofs will be sent to authors to check for any mistakes made during copyediting. Authors are usually given 72 hours to return the proof. No response will be taken as no further corrections required. Corrections should be kept to a minimum. Otherwise, it may cause delay in publication.

Offprint

Contributors will not be given any offprint of their published articles. Contributors can obtain an electronic reprint from the journal website.

DISCLAIMER

All articles published, including editorials and letters, represent the opinion of the contributors and do not reflect the official view or policy of the Clinical Research Unit, the Ministry of Health or the institutions with which the contributors are affiliated to unless this is clearly stated. The appearance of advertisement does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the Clinical Research Unit or Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam. Furthermore, the publisher cannot accept responsibility for the correctness or accuracy of the advertisers' text and/or claim or any opinion expressed.

AWARENESS AND ATTITUDES OF TOOTH REPLACEMENT OPTIONS AMONG PARTIALLY DENTATE PATIENTS WITHIN BRUNEI-MUARA DISTRICT: A PILOT STUDY.

Mohammad Najmuddin JIHADI¹, Sarah SANI^{1, 2}, Amrutha DESHIKACHAR³, Jagjit Singh DHALIWAL¹

¹Pengiran Anak Puteri Rashidah Sa'adatulk Bolkiah (PAPRSB) Institute of Health Sciences, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Jalan Tungku Link, Gadong BE1410, Brunei Darussalam.

²National Dental Centre, Old Airport, Berakas, BB3113, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.

³Jubli Perak Sengkurong Health Centre, Kilometer 16, Jalan Tutong, Kampong Sengkurong, Bandar Seri Begawan, BG3122, Brunei Darussalam.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This pilot study aimed to assess awareness of tooth replacement options and attitudes towards tooth replacement of partially dentate patients within Brunei-Muara District of Brunei Darussalam. **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional sample of partially dentate patients attending government dental clinics in Brunei-Muara district was recruited to answer a questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of nine close-ended and multiple-choice questions on sociodemographic information, and tooth replacement option awareness and attitudes. Collected data was analyzed using RStudio 1.2.1335 (for Windows) for descriptive statistics along with the chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. **Results:** A total of 179 participants were recruited in this study. A large number (87.7%) of the patients were willing to replace their missing teeth. Most participants were aware of the removable prosthesis (80.0%), followed by implant-supported prostheses (41.9%) and tooth-supported bridges (25.1%). Younger aged participants and the ones with higher level of education were significantly more aware of tooth-supported bridges. Participants with higher education were also significantly more aware of implant-supported prostheses. Most of the participants reported that they gained information about tooth replacement options from Dental professionals. **Conclusion:** There were favourable responses towards attitude for tooth replacement among partially dentate patients in the Brunei-Muara district. Within the limitations of this pilot study, the findings can be used to gauge the treatment needs of the partially dentate patients in Brunei-Muara district of Brunei Darussalam.

Keywords: Awareness, Attitude, Brunei, Dental Prosthesis, Tooth loss.

INTRODUCTION

Tooth loss is one of the main indicators for the population's oral health status for many coun-

tries.¹ Despite significant reduction in the prevalence of tooth loss globally, this oral condition continues to be a major concern among the population of Brunei Darussalam.^{1, 2} Several studies have listed untreated dental caries and periodontal disease as two of the most common pathologies causing tooth loss.^{1, 3} Moreover, the Global Burden of Disease 2015 reported that untreated dental caries and peri-

Corresponding author: Awang Mohammad Najmuddin Bin Awang Jihadi, Bachelor of Health Science (Dentistry) student, PAPRSB Institute of Health Sciences, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Jalan Tungku Link Gadong, BE1410 Negara Brunei Darussalam. Tel: +673 7227350; Email: mdnaj.ubd@gmail.com

odontal disease in the permanent dentition were among the most prevalent oral conditions contributing to tooth loss.⁴

Tooth loss can impact an individual's quality of life, as well as, affect an individual's social, mental, and emotional well-being.⁵ Among the concerns for individuals with missing teeth are aesthetic and functional issues, such as mastication impairment.⁶ Replacing missing teeth can help to restore a sense of normalcy to a state before the loss of dentition.⁷ Moreover, tooth replacement can improve general health of individuals.⁸ A study by Krall et al., suggested that prosthodontic intervention could assist with a healthy nutrient intake for those with missing teeth.⁹ Several options are available for the replacement of missing teeth including removable or fixed dental prostheses, and dental implants.⁵ Patient related factors such as, sociodemographic background and number of missing teeth may influence an individual's demands and expectations for dental prosthesis.¹⁰ Complications can be encountered when there is a mismatch between the patient's expectation and the operator's vision for the treatment outcome.¹¹ This can usually be avoided by a thorough discussion during the consultation as well as gauging the patient's treatment needs.

In order to assess the treatment needs of the population, for logistics and planning, it would be beneficial to have information regarding the knowledge and views of tooth replacement among the population of Brunei. Currently, there is no such information available for Brunei Darussalam. Hence, the attitude and awareness towards tooth replacement at the outpatient dental clinics of Brunei-Muara district was assessed in this research. Hence the objectives of this study is to assess the awareness and attitudes towards tooth replacement and to observe the association between sociodemographic factors, tooth replacement awareness

and attitudes among patients in Brunei-Muara District.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, population and sample

This pilot cross-sectional study was conducted at the government dental clinics within Brunei-Muara District of Brunei Darussalam. The participants in this study were recruited from five centres: National Dental Centre, Berakas Health Centre, Jubli Perak Sengkurong Health Centre, Pengiran Anak Puteri Hajah Muta-wakillah Hayatul Bolkiah Health Centre (Rimba) and Pengiran Anak Puteri Hajah Rashidah Sa'adatul Bolkiah Health Centre (Sungai Asam).

Adult (aged 18 years and above), partially dentate patients (excluding third molar) were included in the study. Individuals who were completely edentulous, unable to communicate, mentally disabled or physically handicapped were excluded from this study.

The proportion of partially dentate individuals within Brunei-Muara district is not known. Hence, the following formula was used to estimate the required sample size:

$$n' = \frac{NZ^2P(1-P)}{d^2(N-1) + Z^2P(1-P)}$$

Where

n' = Sample size with finite population correction,

N = Population size,

Z = Z statistic for a level of confidence

P = Expected proportion, and

D = Precision

A sample size of at least 385 was required to achieve precision (power) of 5% with an expected proportion of 50% at a 95% confidence level. Accounting for attrition and missing data, a 20% inflation was taken into

consideration, deriving a total minimum sample of 462. However, due to COVID-19 pandemic, resulting in difficulty in completing the study within the required time frame, the study was stopped early and the recruited study sample was analysed as a pilot study.

Data collection and Research instruments

All participants were informed about the objectives and methods of the study. The questionnaire consisted of nine close-ended and multiple-choice questions on sociodemographic information, as well as, awareness of tooth replacement options and attitudes. Items included in sociodemographic information were gender, age, education level, and ethnicity.

The self-explanatory questionnaire was adapted from a previous study conducted by Amjad *et al.*⁵ The questionnaire was translated into a dual-language English and Malay version. The draft of the questionnaire was then pretested on five eligible patients, and was modified accordingly, before being used for this pilot study.

Statistical analysis

Collected data was analyzed using statistical tools, RStudio 1.2.1335 (for Windows, Microsoft Ltd, USA). Descriptive statistics *i.e.*, frequencies and percentages were tabulated. Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were utilized at a significance level of *p*-value less than 0.05 (*p*<0.05).

Ethical consideration

The questionnaire form was given to participants only after obtaining informed consent. Numerical codes were used to preserve participant's confidentiality. Ethical approval had been granted by the Institute of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (IHSREC) prior to the start of this study.

RESULTS

A total of 179 participants were recruited in this study. Fifty-seven percent (*n*=102) were female and 66.5% were over the age of 40 years. Majority (80.4%) were of Malay racial ethnicity. About 50% of the participants had below secondary level education with only just under a quarter reported having attending tertiary education. The majority (*n*=157; 87.7%) of which showed a positive attitude towards tooth replacement ([Table I](#)). However, all the variables assessed were not statistically significant (*p*>0.05).

The remainder of participants reported that they would choose not to replace their missing teeth. Majority of them did not feel the need or had insufficient knowledge on the available options for tooth replacement ([Table II](#)). About 6 out of every 10 of the participants (58.7%) stated function as their reason to replace missing teeth. There was no significant difference (*p*>0.05) observed among the sociodemographic variables. Almost half of the participants (50.3%) appreciated that dental prostheses and natural teeth were not equivalent ([Table II](#)). Most of the participants (65.9%) did not have any experience with tooth replacement. The remainder have had received removable prostheses (29.6%), tooth-supported bridges (3.4%) and, implant-supported prostheses (3.4%) in the past ([Table II](#)). Eighty percent of the participants were aware of removable prostheses. Less than half of the participants were aware of implant-supported prostheses (41.9%) and about a quarter were aware of tooth-supported bridges (25.1%). Most of them gained information about tooth replacement from their dentist (60.0%), followed by friends/relatives (34.6%) and media exposure (28.5%). Almost all participants agreed that regular dental visits are necessary for their oral health (97.2%) ([Table II](#)).

Awareness for tooth-supported bridges was statistically significant when age

Table I: Attitude towards the replacement of missing teeth in relation to participants' demographic variables.

Do you think you need replacement when tooth/teeth are lost?		Total (n=179)		No (n=22)		Yes (n=157)		P-value ^a
Variables		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Gender	Male	77	43.0	12	15.6	65	84.4	0.244
	Female	102	57.0	10	9.8	92	90.2	
Age	<40	60	33.5	8	13.3	52	86.7	0.763
	>40	119	66.5	14	11.8	105	88.2	
Education Level	Below secondary education	88	49.2	15	17.0	73	83.0	0.0548
	Technical or Vocational/ BC GCE 'A' level/ Higher National Diploma	48	26.8	6	12.5	42	87.5	
	Tertiary Education	43	24.0	1	2.3	42	97.7	
Ethnicity	Malay	144	80.4	20	13.9	124	86.1	0.256 ^b
	Non-Malays	35	19.6	2	5.7	33	94.3	

^a Chi-square test for independence;^b Fisher's exact test

p=0.0260) and education levels (p=0.002) were correlated. Moreover, education levels (p<0.01) were statistically significant for awareness towards implant-supported prosthesis ([Table III](#)).

DISCUSSION

The present study was carried out to assess awareness and attitudes among partially dentate patients in Brunei-Muara government dental clinics towards tooth replacement op-

Table II: Attitudes and awareness of tooth replacement among participants.

Questions	Response options	n	%
Reason(s) for not replacing missing tooth/teeth? (n=22)	Do not feel it is needed	9	40.9
	Lack of knowledge about tooth replacement options	7	31.8
	Financial reasons	4	18.9
	Lack of Time	1	5.0
	Waiting queue	1	5.0
Participants reason for tooth replacement	Appearance	19	10.6
	Function	105	58.7
	Both	55	30.7
Participants opinions regarding equivalence of tooth replacement to natural teeth	Yes	39	21.8
	No	90	50.3
	Don't know	50	27.9
Previous experience with tooth replacement	Removable prostheses	53	29.6
	Tooth supported bridges	6	3.4
	Implant supported prostheses	6	3.4
	None	118	65.9
Participants awareness on tooth replacement	Removable prostheses	143	80.0
	Tooth supported bridges	45	25.1
	Implant supported prostheses	75	41.9
Source of information	Dentist	102	60.0
	Magazines/Newspaper	16	9.0
	Internet/Social media	51	28.5
	TV/Radio	17	9.5
	Friends/Relatives	62	34.6
	None	26	14.5
Participants awareness of the need for regular dental visits	Yes	174	97.2
	No	5	2.8

Table III: Participants' awareness on tooth replacement according to different variables

Variables	Tooth-supported bridges prosthe- sis	Implant sup- ported prosthe- ses
	<i>P-value</i> ^a	<i>P-value</i> ^a
Gender	0.062	0.701
Age	0.026	0.728
Educational level	0.002	<0.001
Ethnicity	0.073	0.098

^a Chi-square test for independence

tions. Most of the participants (87.7%) reported that they desired tooth replacement. This value is comparable to a study carried out in Sri Lanka, where 76.2% of participants showed similar attitudes towards tooth replacement.¹² Despite this, both studies failed to identify any significant differences with all the sociodemographic variables. The main reasons for not replacing teeth differed with most of the participants stating that they did not think it was necessary, in contrast with patients in India who stated financial constraints as the main reason.¹³

Majority of participants in this study rated function over aesthetics as a reason to replace lost teeth, regardless of their gender. This is similar to a study done by Amjad et al. in Pakistan.⁵ However, they identified that gender was a significant factor ($p < 0.05$) in the choice of replacement options. Amjad et al., reported that most female patients undergo tooth replacement due to aesthetic and functional concerns, while most male patients were concerned about function.⁵ Conversely, Elias and coworkers found that male patients were more concerned with aesthetics over function.¹⁴

Among 179 participants, about half (50.3%) of the participants did not agree that prostheses and natural teeth were similar. However, most (65.9%) of these participants did not have any previous experience with

tooth replacement. Hence, this opinion could be based on their notion of prostheses, the experiences of their family and friends, or information from media.

Most participants were familiar with removable prostheses (80.0%), compared to tooth-supported bridges (25.1%), and implant-supported prostheses (41.9%). These findings concur with other studies done in the Asian region.^{12,15} However, a similar study in India had differing findings. They found that most of their participants were aware of tooth-supported bridges (40.5%), followed by removable prosthesis (20.1%) and implant-supported prostheses (15.6%).¹⁶ This contrast could be due to differences in the healthcare systems and education standards among different nations.

Similar to a previous study, age and education levels were statistically significant for awareness towards tooth-supported bridges.¹² Younger participants as well as those with higher levels of education, were more aware of tooth-supported bridges. On the other hand, participants with higher education were significantly more aware of implant-supported prostheses. Therefore, this study was able to establish the level of education as an important factor for awareness towards tooth replacement in Brunei-Muara population of Brunei Darussalam.

Most of the participants obtained information on tooth replacement options from dentists (57%). According to a systematic review paper the findings are similar in many different countries.¹⁷ However, a report by Kohli and colleagues from Malaysia stated that media was the primary source for information.¹⁵ Overall, almost all of the participants (97.2%) agreed that in general, regular dental visits are necessary to improve oral health.

LIMITATIONS

There are several limitations identified in the present study. Due to time constraints from a COVID-19 pandemic, the ideal target sample size was not achieved. However, for a pilot study, sample size of 179 participants was more than enough to generate the above findings. The proportions of different ethnicities were not representative of the population, as the majority of the participants were Malays (80%). However, this percentage may be reflecting the proportion of the ethnicities who utilize the dental services in Brunei Muara district. A large proportion of the participants preferred the principal investigator to read the questions for them, which may have led to bias if their response was based on social ideals. Lastly, other sociodemographic variables, such as monthly income were not included in the study which may influence attitudes towards tooth replacement.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the majority of patients in Brunei-Muara were willing to replace their missing teeth. The participants were more aware of removable dentures, followed by implants and bridges as tooth replacement options available. The level of education was found to significantly affect participants' awareness towards different alternatives of tooth replacement. Chair side dental education was the major source of information among participants. Within the limitations of this pilot study, the findings can be used to gauge the treatment needs of partially dentate patients in Brunei-Muara district in Brunei Darussalam.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Since the results from this study shows a lack of awareness for tooth-supported bridges among the participants, greater effort may be

treatment option. Further measures are needed to raise awareness about the importance of maintenance of their existing dentition and options for tooth replacement to the general public via mass media. Furthermore, a similar study can be conducted within an appropriate time frame to obtain the representative sample size.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The researchers would like to express their sincerest gratitude to Dr Hanif bin Abdul Rahman, for the statistical guidance, and Dr. Norhidayati Binti Hj Sulong, Dr. P Manoj, Dr. Manikandan, Dr. Fadzilah and Dr. Raymond as well as the dental assistants involved for their valuable contributions to the data collection of this study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1: Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. [Global Burden of Severe Tooth Loss. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.](#) Journal of Dental Research. 2014; 93(7 suppl):20S–28S. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
- 2: Peres K, A.Sikun MSY, Lim PKY, Roberts-Thomson K. [Challenges for Brunei Darussalam.](#) Nature India. 2017. doi:10.1038/nindia.2017.26 Published online 17 March 2017. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
- 3: Jafarian M, Etebarian A. [Reasons for Extraction of Permanent Teeth in General Dental Practices in Tehran, Iran.](#) Medical Principles and Practice. 2013;22(3):239-244. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
- 4: Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, et al. [Global, Regional, and National Prevalence, Incidence, and Disability-Adjusted Life Years for Oral Conditions for 195 Countries, 1990–2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors.](#) Journal of Dental Research. 2017;96(4):380–387. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].

- 5: Amjad F, Aziz S. [Trends, awareness and attitudes of patients towards tooth replacement of missing teeth at University College of Dentistry.](#) Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2014;34(1):190-193. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 6: De Lima Saintrain MV, de Souza EHA. [Impact of tooth loss on the quality of life.](#) Gerodontology. 2011;29(2):632-636. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 7: Suprakash B, Yusuf Ahammed AR, Thareja A, Kandaswamy R, Kumar N, Bhondwe S. [Knowledge and Attitude of Patients toward Dental Implants as an Option for Replacement of Missing Teeth.](#) The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice. 2013;14(1):115-118. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 8: McKenna G, Allen PF, O'Mahony D, DaMata C, Cronin M, Woods N. [The importance of oral health for the systemic well-being of an ageing population.](#) Ir Med J. 2009;102(7):202-4. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 9: Krall E, Hayes C, Garcia R. How dentition status and masticatory function affect nutrient intake. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 1998;129(9):1261-1269.
 - 10: Mehmood H, Abdur R, Memon MS, Waqas T, Moin K. [Awareness of different treatment options for missing teeth in patient visited at Hamdard University Dental Hospital.](#) Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2015;35(2):320-322. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 11: Albino JE, Tedesco LA, Conny DJ. Patient perceptions of dental-facial esthetics: Shared concerns in orthodontics and prosthodontics. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1984;52(1):9-13.
 - 12: Jayasinghe RM, Perera J, Jayasinghe V, et al. [Awareness, attitudes, need and demand on replacement of missing teeth among a group of partially dentate patients attending a University Dental Hospital.](#) BMC Research Notes. 2017;10(1):334. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 13: Raj N, Reddy N, Japatti S, Thomas M, Uthappa R. [Knowledge, attitudes towards prosthodontics rehabilitation and utilization of dental services among Songadh and Amargadh Population.](#) J Dent Med Med Sci. 2014;3(1):1-6. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 14: Elias AC, Sheiham A. The relationship between satisfaction with mouth and number, position and condition of teeth: studies in Brazilian adults. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 1999;26(1): 53-71.
 - 15: Kohli S, Bhatia S, Kaur A, Rathakrishnan T. [Patients awareness and attitude towards dental implants.](#) Indian Journal of Dentistry. 2015;6(4):167-171. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 16: Gupta S, Mantri SS, Bhasin A. [Knowledge and Attitude towards Prosthodontic Rehabilitation and Utilization of Dental Services by Central India Population of Jabalpur City, India.](#) Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2018;8:12-15. [Accessed on 2 June 2021].
 - 17: Edelmayr M, Woletz K, Ulm C, Zechner W, Tepper G. Patient information on treatment alternatives for missing single teeth – Systematic review. European Journal of Oral Implantology. 2016;9(2):45-57.
-

**BORANG KAJI SELIDIK
QUESTIONNAIRE FORM**

Sila tuliskan atau tandakan (✓) dimana sesuai jawapan pilihan anda.

Please write down or tick (✓) where appropriate the answer of your choice.

1) Demografi Peserta (Participant Demographic)

a) Jantina (Gender):

- ☐ Lelaki (Male)
☐ Perempuan (Female)

b) Umur (Age):

- ☐ 18-24 tahun (years)
☐ 25-40 tahun (years)
☐ 41-60 tahun (years)
☐ 61 tahun keatas (years and above)

c) Tahap Pendidikan (Education Level):

- ☐ Tiada Pendidikan formal (No formal education)
☐ Pendidikan Sekolah Rendah (Primary School Education)
☐ Pendidikan Sekolah Menengah (Secondary School Education)
☐ Teknikal atau vocational/ BC GCE 'A' level/ Diploma Tinggi Kebangsaan (Technical or Vocational/ BC GCE 'A' level/ Higher National Diploma)
☐ Pengajian Tinggi (Sarjana muda/ Sarjana/ Ijazah Doktor Falsafah) / Tertiary Education. (Bachelor Degree/ Masters/ PhD)

d) Kumpulan etnik/ Ethnic group

- ☐ Melayu/ Malay
☐ Kumpulan Asli (Iban, Murut dan lain-lain) / Indigenous groups (Iban, Murut and etc)
☐ Cina/ Chinese
☐ India/ Indian
☐ Yang lain-lain / Others

Sila nyatakan disini / Please state here:

2) Adakah anda fikir anda memerlukan penggantian apabila gigi / gigi hilang?

Do you think you need replacement when tooth/teeth are lost?

- ☐ Ya (Yes)
☐ Tidak (No)

Jika jawapan anda disoalan (2) adalah "Ya", anda TIDAK PERLU menjawab soalan (3) dan TERUS menjawab soalan (4).

If your answer in question (2) is "Yes", please SKIP question (3) and PROCEED to question (4).

3) Apakah sebab-sebab tidak perlu penggantian apabila gigi /gigi hilang? (Anda boleh memilih lebih dari satu jawapan)

**BORANG KAJI SELIDIK
QUESTIONNAIRE FORM**

Sila tuliskan atau tandakan (✓) dimana sesuai jawapan pilihan anda.

Please write down or tick (✓) where appropriate the answer of your choice.

What is/are the reason(s) for not replacing lost tooth/teeth? (You can choose more than one answer)

- ☐ Kekurangan masa (*Lack of Time*)
- ☐ Atas sebab kewangan (*Financial reasons*)
- ☐ Tidak memerlukan (*Do not feel it is needed*)
- ☐ Kurang pengetahuan mengenai penggantian gigi (*Lack of knowledge about tooth re-placement options*)
- ☐ Menunggu giliran (*Waiting queue*)

4) Pada pendapat anda, apakah sebab mengganti gigi? (Anda boleh memilih lebih dari satu jawapan)

In your opinion, what is/are the reason(s) for tooth replacement? (You can choose more than one answer)

- ☐ Penampilan (*Appearance*)
- ☐ Fungsi, seperti mengunyah dan bercakap (*Function, such as chewing and speaking*)

5) Pada pendapat anda, adakah gigi gantian sama seperti gigi semula jadi?

In your opinion, is tooth replacement equivalent/similar to natural teeth?

- ☐ Ya (*Yes*)
- ☐ Tidak (*No*)
- ☐ Tidak tahu (*Don't know*)

6) Adakah anda mempunyai pengalaman dengan penggantian gigi yang disenaraikan dibawah?

Do you have any experience with listed tooth replacement options below?

- ☐ Gigi palsu yang boleh di tanggalkan (*Removable Dentures*)
- ☐ Gigi palsu jambatan (*Bridge*)
- ☐ Gigi palsu implan (*Implant*)
- ☐ Tiada pilihan di atas (*None of the above*)

7) Diantara penggantian gigi yang tersenarai dibawah, pilih yang anda ketahui? (Anda boleh memilih lebih dari satu jawapan)

Which of these listed tooth replacement options below are you aware of? (You can choose more than one answer)

- ☐ Gigi palsu yang boleh di tanggalkan (*Removable Dentures*)
- ☐ Gigi palsu jambatan (*Bridge*)
- ☐ Gigi palsu implan (*Implant*)
- ☐ Tiada pilihan di atas (*None of the above*)

**BORANG KAJI SELIDIK
QUESTIONNAIRE FORM**

Sila tuliskan atau tandakan (✓) dimana sesuai jawapan pilihan anda.

Please write down or tick (✓) where appropriate the answer of your choice.

- 8) Bagaimanakah anda mendapatkan maklumat mengenai pelbagai gigi gantian?

How did you obtain information about any tooth replacement options?

- ☐ Dentist (*Doktor gigi*)
- ☐ Magazines/ newspaper (*Majalah/ Akhbar*)
- ☐ Internet/ Social media (*Internet/ Media sosial*)
- ☐ TV/ Radio (*TV/Radio*)
- ☐ Friends/ relatives (*Kawan/ Keluarga*)
- ☐ Tiada pilihan di atas (*None of the above*)

- 9) Adakah anda berfikir bahawa lawatan pergigian yang kerap diperlukan untuk semua orang?

Do you think that regular dental visits are needed for everybody?

- ☐ Ya (*Yes*)
 - ☐ Tidak (*No*)
-